

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,475 02/22/2002		22/2002	Bhupendra K. Soni	GTI-1468	8518	
33058	7590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
MARK E. I		100YOU INTO	SINES, BRIAN J			
GAS TECHI 1700 SOUT		NSTITUTE AIN PROSPECT RO	DAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES PLAIN	ES, IL 600	)18		1743		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/080,475	SONI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Brian J. Sines	1743					
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati			ess				
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of region will apply and will expire SIX (6) No y statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commetaBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 .						
,	•	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 7-13 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 6 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers			,				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO	)-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davison et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,922,974 A) (hereinafter "Davison"). Regarding claims 1 and 4, Davison teaches an apparatus comprising: a transparent vessel (glass tube 27); at least one sorbent material (e.g., molecular sieve resin material, i.e., carbosphere 33, for sorbing or trapping soil gas molecules); and a separation means (e.g., gas permeable barrier 38) (see 1, line 55 – col. 3, line 48; figures 1 – 3). The Courts have held that apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett-Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The Courts have held that the manner of operating an apparatus does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art, if the prior art apparatus teaches all of the structural limitations of the claim. See *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BPAI 1987) (see MPEP § 2114).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the further incorporation within the Davison apparatus a stirring means as recited in claim 2. The cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the further incorporation within the Davison apparatus separation means comprising a dialysis bag as recited in claim 3. The cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the further incorporation within the Davison apparatus a sealable means comprising at least one septum as recited in claim 6.

Claims 7 - 13 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 7, the cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the claimed methodology for measuring release rates of contaminants in a at least one of a fast release mode and a slow release mode.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: The cited prior art teach various sampling and analytical devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11 AM - 8 PM EST).

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beian Sim